Nutritional Status and Related Factors in Elderly Nursing Home Residents
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Introduction: A challenge for health care providers is that there will be a distinct rise globally in the number of elderly people aged 80 years and over. Malnutrition is a well-known problem among elderly people. The aim of this study was to determine nutritional status and its associated risk factors in elderly nursing home residents in Tehran, Iran.

Methods: The cross-sectional study was carried out among 385 elderly people aged 60 years or elder in 2014. All subjects who were attending to daily care centers for elderly people entered the study voluntarily. Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) tool was used to evaluate nutritional status.

Results: Of participants, 13.25% were malnourished according to MNA, 60% were at risk for malnutrition, and 26.75% were well fed. In other words, 73.25% of elderly people were at risk of or suffering from malnutrition. Nutritional status of the elderly based on MNA, was significantly associated with history of acute illness or stress, recent mobility problems, nervous mental depression, personal views about nutrition and health status.

Conclusion: Considering the high percentage of elderly people eat risk or suffering from malnutrition in nursing homes, the need for nutritional interventions seems to be crucial.
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investigations regarding nutritional status in nursing homes in Iran have demonstrated the same results, that malnutrition is a serious problem in nursing homes, demanding special attention (13-15). The aim of this study was to determine nutritional status and its associated risk factors in elderly nursing home residents in Tehran, Iran.

Results

Totally 385 elderly from nineteen nursing homes in Tehran, Iran participated in this study from 2013 to 2014; of which 61.3% were female. The mean (±SD) age of study population was 76.1 ± 3.5 years, of which 52.2% were aged 60 to 74 years old. Table 1 shows demographic characteristics of participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher than diploma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>22.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of residence in a nursing home (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>78.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Logistic regression analysis did not show a significant relationship between nutritional status and maintenance center (p = 0.02). Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified the following factors as independent risk factors for malnutrition in elderly patients: 1) age of patients (OR = 1.01, 95% CI: 1.0-1.6; p = 0.01). Logistic regression was adjusted for confounders such as age of patients, sex and the type of elderly nursing home. Of participants 77.1% had a history of chronic disease. The most prevalent were hypertension and diabetes, respectively. Among patients 13.25% were suffering from malnutrition, 60% were at risk of malnutrition, and 26.75% were well-fed. In other words, 73.25% of seniors surveyed were at risk of or suffering from malnutrition.

In this study, 4% of people consumed less than 3 drugs daily, 96% of those who consumed more than 3 drugs per day were suffering from malnutrition, and the proportion of older people took every day less than 3 drugs showed no statistically significant difference. Significant association between nutritional status of the elderly and drug use was seen (p = 0.02); Also, 80% of patients with a history of acute illness or stress in the last 3 months were in poor nutritional

Methods

Procedures

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 385 elderly aged 60 years or older (211 women and 174 men) living in nineteen nursing homes in Tehran (public and private) in 2013 to 2014. The regional ethics committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences approved the protocol of the study. After explaining the study, a written informed consent was taken from eligible participants. Sample size was determined based on the information derived from a similar study (6, 11) considering a confidence level of 95%, Z = 1.96, d = 0.05, 385 samples was calculated to be included in the study. Participants voluntarily accepted to take part in the study. Subjects with Alzheimer disease and other cognitive disorders were excluded from the current study because they were unable to answer the questionnaires accurately.

Data collection

The data collection was carried out using Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) with five additional questions. The MNA which developed by Guigoz et al. is a reliable, feasible and non-invasive screening tests for evaluating nutritional status in elderly people (16). This questionnaire composed of 18 different questions and anthropometric measures for ranking participants in three levels (malnutrition with scores less than 17, at risk of malnutrition with 17 to 23.5 scores and normal status with 24 to 30 scores) (17). The MNA questionnaire has been translated into many languages and is being utilized in several countries (9, 13). This questionnaire can be completed rapidly and easily (in about 10 minutes). It consists of brief questions and simple measurements which may be conducted by physicians or healthcare professionals. In a study by Gazzotti et al. on diagnostic values of the MNA, the sensitivity of the questionnaire was found to be 96% with 98% specificity and 97% predictive value to distinguish malnourished cases (18). According to one study evaluating the Persian-translated version of the MNA used for Iranian elderly population, the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were 88%, 62%, 57%, and 89%, respectively (14). Demographic characteristic of subjects (age, gender, current disease) were collected through a questionnaire.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative and qualitative variables were compared between nutritional status categories using independent samples student t-test and Chi-square tests, respectively. Binary logistic regression was used to examine the association between demographic and other factors, and the likelihood of malnutrition among elderly. The data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 16). P-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Table 1. Frequency Distribution of Demographic characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic characteristics</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher than diploma</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>22.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of residence in a nursing home (N)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>78.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Logistic regression analysis did not show a significant relationship between nutritional status and maintenance center (p = 0.02). Multivariate logistic regression analysis identified the following factors as independent risk factors for malnutrition in elderly patients: 1) age of patients (OR = 1.01, 95% CI: 1.0-1.6; p = 0.01). Logistic regression was adjusted for confounders such as age of patients, sex and the type of elderly nursing home. Of participants 77.1% had a history of chronic disease. The most prevalent were hypertension and diabetes, respectively. Among patients 13.25% were suffering from malnutrition, 60% were at risk of malnutrition, and 26.75% were well-fed. In other words, 73.25% of seniors surveyed were at risk of or suffering from malnutrition.
status \( (p = 0.001) \). In the malnutrition group, 35.3\% of the people had the ability to move, while 64.7\% were not able to move, which is statistically significant \( t (p = 0.001) \). Among participants, 41.2\% of people with dementia or severe depression, 45.1\% of those with mild dementia and 13.7\% of the elderly with neuropsychological problems were suffering from malnutrition, the differences which are statistically significant. Among people with neuropsychiatric problems, in comparison with those who had other mental problems, malnutrition are higher 86.3\% compared to 13.7\%, which represents older people with depression, were most affected by malnutrition \( (p > 0.001) \). Elderly people who are accustomed to daily reading, watching TV and listening to radio, talking to others, praying, walking and helping others experienced less malnutrition 72.54\% compared to 27.45\%, which represents a positive impact of such the activities on nutritional status \( (p > 0.01) \). Compared to those unable to eat independently 69.9\% (optimal nutrition) dedicated to those who eat without help and the significant difference between the two groups \( (p > 0.01) \) means that the ability of the elderly to eat had an effect on nutritional status.

### Table 2. Distribution of nutritional status according to maintenance centers (public/private)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutritional status</th>
<th>Without malnutrition</th>
<th>At risk of malnutrition</th>
<th>With malnutrition</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>55.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>71.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Distribution of factors studied in elderly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors studied</th>
<th>Without malnutrition</th>
<th>At risk of malnutrition</th>
<th>With malnutrition</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elder's assessment of nutrition</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26.21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No problem</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to eat</td>
<td>Poor nutrition</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27.18</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>33.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Using eat</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>With hardly eat</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eat without help</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily consumption of drugs</td>
<td>More than 3 drugs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.56</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>90.04</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 3 drugs</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>85.43</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to move</td>
<td>Ability</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>92.23</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>80.95</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not Ability</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19.04</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How spending Time</td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>48.48</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>72.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activities</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>51.55</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychiatric problems</td>
<td>Severe dementia or depression</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mild dementia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No problem</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met with relatives</td>
<td>Once a week</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Less than 5 days</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61.17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>25.54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No meeting</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease or acute stress in the last 3 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>91.77</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder evaluation of their health status compared with peers</td>
<td>No sure</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.82</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persons as well as peer</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>66.66</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better than peers</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Malnutrition is associated with significantly increased morbidity and mortality in independently living elderly, as well as the residents of nursing homes and hospitalized patients (1). Studies in developed countries show that multiple chronic illness, nutritional deficiency, and functional disabilities are common features of old age which can cause malnutrition (19, 20). The primary purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence of malnutrition and related risk factors in elderly residents of nursing homes in Tehran, Iran.

In the current study, the prevalence of malnutrition and its relationship with influencing factors in elderly were assessed. The prevalence of malnutrition in our study was 16%, similar to the results obtained by Afkhami et al. (14). This was 12.8% in the Aliabadi study (13) and 7% in the Ulger et al. study (20) and Saha Set et al. (22) which was higher than our study.

The malnutrition in elderly people who cannot eat (60.8%) was three times more prevalent than those who eat without help. This study and other studies in Tehran (14, 22) and Spanish (23) illustrated statistically significant relationship between the nutritional status of other people and their ability to eat. The study, showed that the elderly who were unable had the ability to move were more likely be exposed to malnutrition. It may be due to isolation and lack of communication with others, unfavorable psychological conditions leading lead to loss of appetite and subsequently inadequate food intake (15). Timpini showed that there is a significant relationship between movement ability and the elderly nutritional state based on MNA, which is similar to the results of this study (24). In a study in Japan, the people who had neuropsychiatric problems in the month preceding the study are more at the risk of malnutrition, which is similar to the result of this study (25).

Conclusion

This study showed that nursing home dwelling older people are at an increased risk of inadequate diet and malnutrition, and Inadequate diet and malnutrition are associated with a decline in functional status, impaired muscle function, decreased bone mass, immune dysfunction, anemia, reduced cognitive function, poor wound healing, and delay in recovering from surgery, and higher hospital and readmission rates and mortality. Thus, nutritional and dietary program and educational programs tailored to the elderly who provide their needs, it is necessary to improve the situation.

Study limitations

Limitation of the study was that the qualitative research methods were not adopted which might lead to unreliable results, hence more detailed assessment of the factors contributing to nutritional status of the study population.
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